

A Remark on Interpolation on Finite Open Riemann Surfaces

Morisuke HASUMI*

Let Ω be a region on a Riemann surface R whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ consists of finitely many analytic simple closed curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$, with $\Omega \cap \partial\Omega$ compact. Such an Ω is usually known as a finite open Riemann surface. Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of distinct points on Ω . Then it is called an interpolating sequence if, for any bounded sequence $\{c_k\}$ of complex numbers, there exists a bounded holomorphic function h on Ω such that $h(z_k) = c_k$ for all k . The following theorem has been proved by Stout [2; 3].

THEOREM. *For $j=1, \dots, m$, let γ'_j be a simple closed curve in Ω which, together with γ_j , bounds an annular region A_j in Ω , such that the A_j have disjoint closures. Then a discrete sequence $E = \{z_k\}$ in Ω is an interpolating sequence if and only if $E_j = A_j \cap E$ is an interpolation set for A_j for each j .*

The purpose of this note is to derive this theorem from some recent results of Hoffman [1]. The proof is short but it is not satisfactory because of the quite heavy machinery on which it is based.

Let $H^\infty(\Omega)$ be the usual Banach algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on Ω and $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ the maximal ideal space of $H^\infty(\Omega)$. It is shown in [1] the following: (1) A discrete sequence S in Ω is interpolating if and only if its closure in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ contains only those points p in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ which belong to non-trivial Gleason parts for $H^\infty(\Omega)$. (2) A point p in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ belongs to a trivial Gleason part if and only if, for any $f \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\hat{f}(p) = 0$, there exist $g, h \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\hat{g}(p) = \hat{h}(p) = 0$ and $f = gh$.

In order to prove the theorem, suppose first that E is an interpolating sequence in Ω . Then $E_j = E \cap A_j$ for each j is also interpolating in A_j .

Suppose conversely that each E_j is interpolating in A_j . By taking smaller A_j if necessary, we may assume all γ'_j to be analytic, and this means that the closure of each E_j in $M(H^\infty(A_j))$ contains only those points which belong to non-trivial Gleason parts for $H^\infty(A_j)$. Now we suppose, on the contrary, that E is not interpolating in Ω . Then its closure in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ contains at least one p_0 belonging to some trivial Gleason part of $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$. It is easy to see that p_0 is already contained in the closure in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ of some E_j , because p_0 lies off Ω and thus deletion of any finite number of points from E does not affect the situation. So we may assume that E_1 contains a

*Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University, Mito, Japan

Cauchy net N in $M(H^\infty(\Omega))$ converging to p_0 and that N converges in R to a point $\lambda_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and is contained in a coordinate disk U in R around λ_0 with $U \cap \Omega \subset A_1$. On the other hand, the net N has an accumulation point q_0 in the space $M(H^\infty(U \cap \Omega))$. Let f be any function in $H^\infty(U \cap \Omega)$ that satisfies $\widehat{f}(q_0) = 0$. As Hoffman [1] remarks, there is a factorization $f = f_1 f_2$ where $f_1 \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $f_2 \in H^\infty(U \cap \Omega)$ is analytic on $U \cap \Omega$ with $f_2(\lambda_0) = 1$. Then we have $\widehat{f}(q_0) = 0 = \widehat{f}_1(q_0) \widehat{f}_2(q_0) = \widehat{f}_1(q_0) f_2(\lambda_0) = \widehat{f}_1(q_0)$. So $\widehat{f}_1(p_0) = \lim_{\omega \in N} f_1(\omega) = \widehat{f}_1(q_0) = 0$. As p_0 belongs to a trivial Gleason part for $H^\infty(\Omega)$, there exist $g_1, h_1 \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\widehat{g}_1(p_0) = \widehat{h}_1(p_0) = 0$ and $f_1 = g_1 h_1$ on Ω . As we have also $\widehat{g}_1(q_0) = \lim_{\omega \in N} g_1(\omega) = \widehat{g}_1(p_0) = 0$ and similarly $\widehat{h}_1(q_0) = 0$, we see that the point q_0 belongs to a trivial Gleason part for $H^\infty(U \cap \Omega)$. So $E_1 \cap U$ cannot be an interpolating sequence in $U \cap \Omega$. Hence E_1 cannot be interpolating in the larger domain A_1 , contradicting our original assumption.

References

- [1] K. Hoffman, Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts, *Ann. of Math.* **86** (1967), 74-111.
- [2] E. L. Stout, Bounded holomorphic functions on finite Riemann surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965), 255-285.
- [3] E. L. Stout, Interpolation on finite open Riemann surfaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 274-278.